

Preprint IFUNAM
FT-94-36
January 1994

$2(2S + 1)$ - COMPONENT MODEL AND ITS CONNECTION WITH OTHER FIELD THEORIES *

Valeri V. Dvoeglazov^{†,‡}

*Departamento de Física Teórica, Instituto de Física,
Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México,
Apartado Postal 20-364, 01000 D.F. , MEXICO*

Abstract

This talk presents the review of forgotten but attractive formalism proposed by Joos and Weinberg in the sixties for description of high-spin particles. Problems raised in the recent works [Ahluwalia *et al.*] are discussed. New results obtained by the author in his preceding papers [”Hadronic J.”, 1993, v. 16, No. 5, pp. 423-428; No. 6, pp. 459-467; Preprints IFUNAM FT-93-19, 24, 35] are reported. In *Appendix*, bibliography of publications related with mentioned $2(2S + 1)$ - component formalism is presented.

KEYWORDS: high-spin particles, Lorentz group representation, quantum electrodynamics, Dirac oscillator

PACS: 03.50.De, 11.10.Ef, 11.10.Qr, 11.17+y, 11.30.Cp

* Talk presented at the *Escuela Latinoamericana de Física (ELAF'93)*. ”Fenomenología de las Interacciones Fundamentales”. Mar del Plata, Argentina. July 5-16, 1993 and at the *XVII Symposium on Nuclear Physics*. Oaxtepec, México. January 4-7, 1994.

[†] Perm. address: *Dept. Theor. & Nucl. Phys., Saratov State University and Sci. & Tech. Center for Control and Use of Physical Fields and Radiations, Astrakhanskaya str. , 83, Saratov RUSSIA*

[‡] Email: valeri@ifunam.ifisicacu.unam.mx, dvoeglazov@main2.jinr.dubna.su

1 Introduction

Theoretical investigation of relativistic high-spin fields is very important in the connection with the present experimental situation when hadrons of spin up to 6 have been found [1], see *Table I*. A correct modelling of reactions which include high-spin states requires a Lorentz covariant treatment of these quantum fields.

Table I. High-spin hadron resonances.

Meson	$a_6(2450)$	$I^G = 1^-$	$J^{PC} = 6^{++}$
Meson	$f_6(2510)$	$I^G = 0^+$	$J^{PC} = 6^{++}$
Baryon	$\Delta(2420), H_{3,11}$	$I = \frac{3}{2}$	$J^P = \frac{11}{2}^+$
Baryon	$N(2600), I_{1,11}$	$I = \frac{1}{2}$	$J^P = \frac{11}{2}^-$

First of all, let me draw some preliminary statements connected with the subject of my talk:

— There is no exist a fully satisfactory formalism for high-spin fields. Neither Rarita - Schwinger approach [2], in which wave function is a tensor of S th rank for bosons or a product of a Dirac spinor and a tensor of S th rank for fermions, nor Bargmann-Wigner approach [3], in which wave function presents oneself a symmetric $2S$ th order spinor, provide consistent field equations in the case of spin $S \geq 1$ when gauge interaction includes $(\partial_\mu \rightarrow \partial_\mu - ieA_\mu)$. The Joos-Weinberg approach [4, 5] considered in Section 2 is also not free from difficulties. E. g., in ref. [6] it was shown that Weinberg equations have kinematically anomalous solutions and, moreover, suffer from problem of interpretation negative energy solutions which have incorrect parity. Analogously to other formalisms, the Joos-Weinberg equations do not provide satisfactory mechanism for including interaction, as marked in ref. [6a]. However, recent development of this formalism, undertaken by Ahluwalia *et al.* [7], namely, construction of a Bargmann-Wightman-Wigner-type quantum field theory [8], in which a boson and its antiboson appear with opposite relative intrinsic parity, recasting Weinberg equations allowing for additional factor $\varphi_{u,v}$ in front of mass term, gives more trust in construction of adequate formalism for description of high-spin hadron resonances.

— In the book [9, p.77] it was written: 'The fields associated with these particles, ϕ and ψ (V. D. : spinor and scalar), are not classically observable. There is no well-defined (measurable) classical limit or classical field theory to guide us through quantization. This is not true for the photon (free, massless, spin 1 boson)... Yet, even though we have an experimentally well-defined classical theory to guide us, the quantization of electromagnetic field is by far the most delicate.'

In fact, such notions as a bispinor, a Lagrangian of spinor field, a self-interaction potential etc. are required in relativistic quantum models only. Why should we have the classical analog for electromagnetic field, A_μ , the 4- vector potential?

— In the procedure of quantization of electromagnetic field it is necessary to satisfy simultaneously: a) requirement of positive energy density; b) Lorentz condition; c) condition of transversality; d) relativistic covariance. As a result, problem of indefinite metrics appears when quantization of electromagnetic field. We need to assume that zero-component of vector potential is anti-Hermitian. As mentioned in [10, p.93], 'the physical meaning of so-called quantization on indefinite metric in the system Hilbert space is obscure'. There are still some attempts of construction of electromagnetic field theory without indefinite metrics [11].

— Well-known formalisms for description of massive vector particles (Kemmer β - formalism, Proca theory etc.) have no renormalizability. In order to get the renormalizable theory with heavy vector bosons we need implementing the Higgs mechanism or additional heavy vector particle [12] coupled to the conserved current [13] or using non-local current operator [14].

— It was observed by Tam and Happer [15] that circularly polarized laser beams of opposite polarization repel each other, beams of equal polarization attract in a medium of sodium vapour. This result can be interpreted in terms of long-range spin-spin forces resulting from exchange of massless axial vector gauge particles (in the first order of perturbation theory), ref. [16], without applying to non-linear electrodynamics.

— Introduction of axial magnetic current ($g_\mu = -g\bar{\Psi}\gamma_\mu\gamma_5\Psi$) and axial-vector potentials permits one to avoid several difficulties in the Dirac theory of magnetic monopoles, namely, it has not to resort to a pseudoscalar magnetic charge; the quantum of field's angular momentum is not necessarily half integer [17].

— Essential disagreement turns out between theoretical prediction [18]:

$$\Gamma_3^{theor}(o - Ps) = 7.038\,236(10)\,\mu s^{-1}. \quad (1.1)$$

and experimental values for the decay rate of orthopositronium [19]:

$$\begin{cases} \Gamma_3^{exp}(o - Ps) = 7.0514(14)\,\mu s^{-1}, & \text{ref. [19a]} \\ \Gamma_3^{exp}(o - Ps) = 7.0482(16)\,\mu s^{-1}, & \text{ref. [19b]}. \end{cases} \quad (1.2)$$

What is the origin of ~ 10 standard deviation from predicted theoretical decay rate?¹

The questions induced me and others to look at the different descriptions of high-spin particles.

2 Model

In the beginning of the sixties Joos [4], Weinberg [5] and Weaver, Hammer, and Good [21] have developed a description of free particles with an arbitrary spin $S = 0, \frac{1}{2}, 1, \frac{3}{2}, \dots$. The wave functions (WF's) in this approach form the basis of the $(S, 0) \oplus (0, S)$ representation of the Lorentz group and are presented by the $2(2S + 1)$ - component spinor:

$$\Psi = \begin{pmatrix} \Phi_\sigma \\ \Xi_\sigma \end{pmatrix}. \quad (2.1)$$

The transformation rules

$$\begin{cases} \Phi_\sigma(\vec{p}) = \exp\left(+\theta \hat{\vec{p}} \hat{\vec{J}}\right) \Phi_\sigma(0), \\ \Xi_\sigma(\vec{p}) = \exp\left(-\theta \hat{\vec{p}} \hat{\vec{J}}\right) \Xi_\sigma(0) \end{cases} \quad (2.2)$$

(θ is the boost parameter, $\tanh \theta = \frac{|\vec{p}|}{E}$, $\hat{\vec{p}} = \frac{\vec{p}}{|\vec{p}|}$, $\vec{p}$ is the three-momentum of the particle, $\hat{\vec{J}}$ is the angular momentum operator) represent generalization of the well-known Lorentz boosts for a Dirac particle. This way of description is on an equal footing to description of Dirac particles which have wave function transformed on the $(\frac{1}{2}, 0) \oplus (0, \frac{1}{2})$ representation.

$2(2S + 1)$ - component bispinors in momentum space transform according to

$$U(\vec{p}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} D^S(\alpha(\vec{p})) \xi_\sigma \\ D^S(\alpha^{-1}(\vec{p})) \xi_\sigma \end{pmatrix}, \quad (2.3)$$

for positive-energy states; and

$$V(\vec{p}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} D^S(\alpha(\vec{p})C^{-1}) \xi_\sigma^* \\ D^S(\alpha^{-1}(\vec{p})C^{-1}) (-1)^{2S} \xi_\sigma^* \end{pmatrix}, \quad (2.4)$$

for negative-energy states with the following notations:

$$\alpha(\vec{p}) = \frac{p_0 + M + (\vec{\sigma}\vec{p})}{\sqrt{2M(p_0 + M)}}, \quad C = -i\sigma_2 \quad (2.5)$$

being used. $D^{(S)}[\Lambda]$ answers for $(S, 0)$ representation of Lorentz group.

¹M. Samuel, ref. [20], recently proposed the way of dissolving this problem by using some estimations of next orders. However, calculations of corrections $\sim \alpha^8$ are not yet carried out. In the connection with the above three points let me propose another way of estimations of higher orders of $\Gamma_3^{theor}(o - Ps)$. To my knowledge nobody checked the influence of existence of axial-vector particle on the theoretical result. Moreover, even in the absence of this particle it is well known that the Bethe-Salpeter kernel can be expanded in γ -matrix algebra as $I^1 \otimes I^2 \oplus \gamma_5^1 \otimes \gamma_5^2 \oplus \gamma_\mu^1 \otimes \gamma_\mu^2 \oplus (\gamma_\mu \gamma_5)^1 \otimes (\gamma_\mu \gamma_5)^2 \oplus \sigma_{\mu\nu}^1 \otimes \sigma_{\mu\nu}^2$. Calculating the additional diagrams with scalar, pseudoscalar, axial-vector and tensor exchanges in the Born approximation and comparing the contributions of these diagrams with the known result of lower orders on α (in order to define weight of each of diagrams) we could be able to "catch" corrections of the higher orders to this quantity, which are only found from many-photon diagrams when usual calculations.

For particle with spin S the equation has the following form:

$$[\gamma^{\mu_1 \mu_2 \dots \mu_{2S}} \partial_{\mu_1} \partial_{\mu_2} \dots \partial_{\mu_{2S}} + M^{2S}] \Psi(x) = 0, \quad (2.6)$$

where γ - matrices are covariantly defined $2(2S+1) \otimes 2(2S+1)$ - matrices discussed in ref. [22] for the first time:

$$\gamma^{\mu_1 \mu_2 \dots \mu_{2S}} \equiv -i^{2S} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & t^{\mu_1 \mu_2 \dots \mu_{2S}} \\ \bar{t}^{\mu_1 \mu_2 \dots \mu_{2S}} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\gamma_5 \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix},$$

with

$$\bar{t}^{\mu_1 \mu_2 \dots \mu_{2S}} = \pm t^{\mu_1 \mu_2 \dots \mu_{2S}}, \quad (2.7)$$

sign being "−" when μ 's contain altogether an odd number of space-like indices;

$$(\bar{t}^{\mu_1 \mu_2 \dots \mu_{2S}})^* = C t^{\mu_1 \mu_2 \dots \mu_{2S}} C^{-1}; \quad (2.8)$$

and tensor t is defined as following:

- 1) $t_{\sigma\sigma'}^{\mu_1 \mu_2 \dots \mu_{2S}}$ is the $(2S+1)$ matrix with $\sigma, \sigma' = j, j-1, \dots, -j; \mu_1 \dots \mu_{2S} = 0, 1, 2, 3$;
- 2) t is symmetric in all μ 's;
- 3) t is traceless in all μ 's, i. e., $g_{\mu_1 \mu_2} t_{\sigma\sigma'}^{\mu_1 \mu_2 \dots \mu_{2S}} = 0$;
- 4) t is tensor under Lorentz transformations,

$$\begin{aligned} D^{(S)}[\Lambda] t^{\mu_1 \mu_2 \dots \mu_{2S}} D^{(S)}[\Lambda]^+ &= \Lambda_{\nu_1}^{\mu_1} \Lambda_{\nu_2}^{\mu_2} \dots \Lambda_{\nu_{2S}}^{\mu_{2S}} t^{\nu_1 \nu_2 \dots \nu_{2S}} \\ \bar{D}^{(S)}[\Lambda] \bar{t}^{\mu_1 \mu_2 \dots \mu_{2S}} \bar{D}^{(S)}[\Lambda]^+ &= \Lambda_{\nu_1}^{\mu_1} \Lambda_{\nu_2}^{\mu_2} \dots \Lambda_{\nu_{2S}}^{\mu_{2S}} \bar{t}^{\nu_1 \nu_2 \dots \nu_{2S}}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.9)$$

Here

$$\bar{D}^{(S)}[\Lambda] \equiv D^{(S)}[\Lambda^{-1}]^+ \quad (2.10)$$

is a matrix corresponding to Lorentz transformation Λ in $(0, S)$ representation. This way of description is in accordance with Weinberg theorem as opposed to description on the basis of A_μ , vector potential. Weinberg theorem says:

The field constructed from massless particle operator $a(\vec{p}, \lambda)$ of definite helicity transforms according to representation (A, B) such that $B - A = \lambda$.

E. g., a left-circularly polarized photon with $\lambda = -1$ can be associated with $(1, 0), (\frac{3}{2}, \frac{1}{2}), (2, 1)$ fields, but not with the vector potential, $(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$.

It is not the case of massive particle. The field can be constructed out of $2S+1$ operators $a(\vec{p}, \sigma)$ for any representation (A, B) that contains S such that $S = A + B, A + B - 1 \dots |A - B|$, e. g., out of the vector potential.

If we use the vector potential this means that we don't have well-defined creation and annihilation operators in the beginning of quantization procedure.

It is also possible to develop the Hamiltonian approach. In the case of spin-1/2 particle we come to usual Dirac Hamiltonian

$$\mathcal{H} = (\vec{\alpha} \vec{p}) + m\beta. \quad (2.11)$$

In the case of $S = 1$ the Hamiltonian is much more complicated [21, 23]:

$$\mathcal{H} = \frac{2E^2}{2E^2 - M^2} (\vec{\alpha} \vec{p}) + \beta \left[E - \frac{2E}{2E^2 - M^2} (\vec{\alpha} \vec{p})^2 \right], \quad (2.12)$$

where

$$\vec{\alpha} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} \vec{S} & 0 \\ 0 & -\vec{S} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \beta \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

In the papers of P. M. Mathews, e. g., ref. [23], and D. Williams *et al.*, ref. [24], Hamiltonian for any spin in this formalism has been constructed. It has the following form:

$$\mathcal{H} = \sum_{\nu} \frac{(E+p)^{4\nu} - M^{4\nu}}{(E+p)^{4\nu} + M^{4\nu}} EC_{\nu} + \beta \sum_{\nu} \frac{2EM^{2\nu}(E+p)^{2\nu}}{(E+p)^{4\nu} + M^{4\nu}} B_{\nu}, \quad (2.13)$$

where

$$B_{\nu} = \Lambda_{\nu} + \Lambda_{-\nu}, \quad (2.14)$$

$$C_{\nu} = \Lambda_{\nu} - \Lambda_{-\nu}. \quad (2.15)$$

Λ is a projection operator to an eigenvalue ν of helicity operator $\lambda_p = (\vec{\alpha}\vec{p})/|\vec{p}|$, $\nu = -S, -S+1 \dots S$. The coefficients B and C are presented in *Table II*.

Table II. Coefficients B_{μ}, C_{μ} in the Hamiltonian.

S integer	S half-odd integer
$B_0 = \prod_{\mu=1}^S \frac{\lambda_p^2 - \mu^2}{-\mu^2}$	
$B_{\nu} = B_{-\nu} = \frac{\lambda_p^2}{\nu^2} \prod_{\mu=1}^S \frac{\lambda_p^2 - \mu^2}{\nu^2 - \mu^2}$	$B_{\nu} = B_{-\nu} = \prod_{\mu=1/2}^S \frac{\lambda_p^2 - \mu^2}{\nu^2 - \mu^2}$
$C_{\nu} = -C_{-\nu} = \frac{\lambda_p}{\nu} \prod_{\mu=1}^S \frac{\lambda_p^2 - \mu^2}{\nu^2 - \mu^2}$	$C_{\nu} = -C_{-\nu} = \frac{\lambda_p}{\nu} \prod_{\mu=1/2}^S \frac{\lambda_p^2 - \mu^2}{\nu^2 - \mu^2}$

The prime on the product sign indicates that $\mu = \nu$ is to be excluded.

Following this description, the spin-one case [25]-[27] as well as the spin- $\frac{3}{2}$ case [28] have been investigated in details. In the case of spin $S = 1$ one has

$$D^{(1,0)}(\alpha(\vec{p})) = 1 + \frac{(\vec{S}\vec{p})}{M} + \frac{(\vec{S}\vec{p})^2}{M(p_0 + M)}, \quad (2.16)$$

function appearing in (2.3); normalization factor is equal to 1.

In the articles [26, 27, 29] the Feynman diagram technique is discussed for vector particles in a slightly different version of six-component formalism for quantum electrodynamics (QED). The following Lagrangian:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}^{QED} = & \tilde{\pi}_{\mu} \bar{\Psi}(x) \Gamma_{\mu\nu} \pi_{\nu} \Psi(x) - 2M^2 \bar{\Psi}(x) \Psi(x) + \frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu} F_{\mu\nu} - \\ & - \frac{e\lambda}{12} F_{\mu\nu} \bar{\Psi}(x) \gamma_{5,\mu\nu} \Psi(x) - \frac{e\kappa}{12M^2} \partial_{\alpha} F_{\mu\nu} [\bar{\Psi}(x) \gamma_{6,\mu\nu,\alpha\beta} \pi_{\beta} \Psi(x) - \\ & - \tilde{\pi}_{\beta} \bar{\Psi}(x) \gamma_{6,\mu\nu,\alpha\beta} \Psi(x)] \end{aligned} \quad (2.17)$$

has been used there. In the above formula we have $\pi_{\mu} = -i\partial_{\mu} - eA_{\mu}$, $\tilde{\pi}_{\mu} = -i\partial_{\mu} + eA_{\mu}$, $\mu, \nu = 1, \dots, 4$; $F_{\mu\nu} = \partial_{\mu} A_{\nu} - \partial_{\nu} A_{\mu}$ is the electromagnetic field tensor; A_{μ} is the 4- vector of the electromagnetic field; $\bar{\Psi}, \Psi$ are the six-component WF of the massive vector particle. The following expression has been obtained for the interaction vertex of a vector particle with a photon:

$$-e\Gamma_{\alpha\beta}(p+k)_{\beta} - \frac{ie\lambda}{6} \gamma_{5,\alpha\beta} q_{\beta} + \frac{e\kappa}{6M^2} \gamma_{6,\alpha\beta,\mu\nu} q_{\beta} q_{\mu} (p+k)_{\nu}, \quad (2.18)$$

where e is electron charge, λ and κ are the quantities which correspond to the magnetic dipole moment and the electric quadrupole moment, respectively; M is the mass of vector particle; $\Gamma_{\alpha\beta} = \gamma_{\alpha\beta} + \delta_{\alpha\beta}$; $\gamma_{\alpha\beta}$ are defined by the formulae

$$\gamma_{ij} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \delta_{ij} - S_i S_j - S_j S_i \\ \delta_{ij} - S_i S_j - S_j S_i & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (2.19)$$

$$\gamma_{i4} = \gamma_{4i} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & iS_i \\ -iS_i & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \gamma_{44} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}; \quad (2.20)$$

(S_i are the spin matrices for a vector particle). $\gamma_{5,\alpha\beta}$; $\gamma_{6,\alpha\beta,\mu\nu}$ are also $6 \otimes 6$ - matrices:

$$\gamma_{5,\alpha\beta} = i[\gamma_{\alpha\mu}, \gamma_{\beta\mu}], \quad (2.21)$$

$$\gamma_{6,\alpha\beta,\mu\nu} = [\gamma_{\alpha\mu}, \gamma_{\beta\nu}]_+ + 2\delta_{\alpha\mu}\delta_{\beta\nu} - [\gamma_{\beta\mu}, \gamma_{\alpha\nu}]_+ - 2\delta_{\beta\mu}\delta_{\alpha\nu}. \quad (2.22)$$

36 independent Hermitian matrices: $(1, \gamma_5, \gamma_{\alpha\beta}, i\gamma_5\gamma_{\alpha\beta}, \gamma_{5,\alpha\beta}, \gamma_{6,\alpha\beta,\mu\nu})$ form a complete set of $6 \otimes 6$ matrices. The propagator of vector meson in this formulation is

$$G_F(p) = -\frac{i [2M^2 - p_\mu p_\nu (\gamma_{\mu\nu} - \delta_{\mu\nu})]}{4M^2(p^2 + M^2 - i\epsilon)}. \quad (2.23)$$

It was noted in ref. [5b, p.888] that the equation for 6- component bispinor

$$(\gamma_{\mu\nu} p_\mu p_\nu + M^2) \Psi^{(S=1)}(x) = 0 \quad (2.24)$$

can be transformed to the equations for left- and right-circularly polarized radiation when massless $S = 1$ field are considered. In fact, if propose that all ways of description of massless vector particles are equivalent (at least when interaction is absent and intrinsic momenta are equal to zero), it is possible following for Weinberg to assume interpretation of 6-component bispinors as

$$\begin{cases} \Phi = \vec{E} + i\vec{H}, \\ \Xi = -\vec{E} + i\vec{H} \end{cases} \quad (2.25)$$

($\vec{E}$ and $\vec{H}$ are Pauli vectors). In fact, this is the formulation a lá Majorana [30]-[32]. Thus, we come to the Maxwell's free-space equations (Eqs. (4.21) and (4.22) of ref. [5b]):

$$\begin{cases} \vec{\nabla} \times [\vec{E} - i\vec{H}] + i(\partial/\partial t)[\vec{E} - i\vec{H}] = 0, \\ \vec{\nabla} \times [\vec{E} + i\vec{H}] - i(\partial/\partial t)[\vec{E} + i\vec{H}] = 0, \end{cases} \quad (2.26)$$

In the following Section we analyze this fact.

As mentioned in *Introduction*, Weinberg equations have some shortcomings which make it difficult to describe consistently high-spin hadron phenomenology. Recently, considerable development of this formalism has been undertaken by D. V. Ahluwalia *et al.* [6, 7]. First of all, new equation has been proposed in ref.[7a] after analysis of transformation properties of left- and right- spinors:

$$[\gamma_{\mu\nu} \dots \lambda p^\mu p^\nu \dots p^\lambda - \wp_{u,v} M^{2S} I] \Psi(\vec{p}) = 0. \quad (2.27)$$

The incentives of implementing the additional factor $\wp_{u,v}$ are founded by different intrinsic spin parity of u and v spinors and general structure of $(S, 0) \oplus (0, S)$ theory with the transformation laws (2.2). As opposed to the statement of Ryder [33, p.44], the rest spinors satisfy the following equation: $\phi_R(0) = \wp_{u,v} \phi_L(0)$, R and L mark left- and right- spinors. In ref. [7a,b] it was found that this additional factor keeps for bosons (this is not the case for fermions) in the configurational-space equation, e. g., for spin $S = 1$ one has

$$[\gamma_{\mu\nu} \partial^\mu \partial^\nu - \wp_{u,v} M^2 I_6] = 0, \quad (2.28)$$

I_6 is the unit $6 \otimes 6$ - matrix. Thus, $(S, 0) \oplus (0, S)$ representation for massive particles is a realization of the Bargmann- Wightman-Wigner-type quantum field theory [8], in which a boson (described by u spinors) and

its antiboson (described by v spinors) have opposite intrinsic parities. Let us mention that in the case of $(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$ representation on which vector potential transforms particle-antiparticle pairs have the same intrinsic parity. In ref. [7d] it was pointed out that Prof. C. Rubbia suggested to use the process $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow W^+W^-$ in order to check if W^+ and W^- carry the same or opposite intrinsic parity.

However, even with this equation (2.27) one have tachyonic solutions $E^2 = \vec{p}^2 - M^2$ which are to be explained. In ref. [7a] interpretation on the basis of some reminiscences of $m^2 < 0$ of the simplest versions of quantum field theories with spontaneous symmetry breaking has been proposed. Namely, introduction quartic interaction $\lambda(\bar{\Psi}(x)\Psi(x))^2$ could lead to the breaking of rotational symmetry (cosmic vortices are possible manifestation of this breaking) and appearance of quartet of particles $\{\mathcal{N}^\pm, \mathcal{N}^0, \hat{\eta}\}$, with one of them $\hat{\eta}$ being a Goldstone-like spin-one massless particle.

3 Main Results

The main results obtained by the author in the preceding papers [34] are:

1) The scalar Lagrangian of Weinberg's theory (the case of massless $S = 1$ particles and a lá Majorana interpretation of Weinberg's WF, Eq. (2.25))

$$\mathcal{L}^{JW} = \partial_\mu \bar{\Psi} \gamma_{\mu\nu} \partial_\nu \Psi \quad (3.1)$$

is shown to be equivalent to the Lagrangian of free massless skew-symmetric field $\mathcal{L}^H = F_k F_k / 8$ ($F_k = i\epsilon_{kjmn} F_{jm,n}$), presented by Hayashi (1973), ref. [35]. It can be rewritten

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}^{JW} &= (\partial_\mu F_{\nu\alpha})(\partial_\mu F_{\nu\alpha}) - 2(\partial_\mu F_{\mu\alpha})(\partial_\nu F_{\nu\alpha}) + 2(\partial_\mu F_{\nu\alpha})(\partial_\nu F_{\alpha\mu}) = \\ &= -4\mathcal{L}^H - 2(\partial_\mu F_{\mu\alpha})(\partial_\nu F_{\nu\alpha}), \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

what confirms the above statement, taking into account the possibility of the use of the Fermi method *mutatis mutandis* as in ref. [35]. The second term in (3.2) can be excluded by means of generalized Lorentz condition (which is just well-known Maxwell motion equation)². The Lagrangian describes massless particles with the longitudinal physical components only. The transversal components are removed by means of the new "gauge" transformation:

$$F_{\mu\nu} \rightarrow F_{\mu\nu} + A_{[\mu\nu]} = F_{\mu\nu} + \partial_\nu \Lambda_\mu - \partial_\mu \Lambda_\nu. \quad (3.3)$$

2) The vector Lagrangian, proposed in ref. [34b],

$$\mathcal{L}_\alpha = -i\bar{\Psi}\gamma_{\alpha\beta}\partial_\beta\Psi + i(\partial_\beta\bar{\Psi})\gamma_{\alpha\beta}\Psi \quad (3.4)$$

gives the dynamical invariants which are equivalent to the ones found by Lipkin (1964) and Sudbery (1986), ref. [36]. The tensor energy-momentum has the following components:

$$T_{\{i}{}^{4\}4} = (\vec{E}\vec{\nabla})\vec{H} - (\vec{H}\vec{\nabla})\vec{E} + \vec{E}(\nabla\vec{H}) - \vec{H}(\vec{\nabla}\vec{E}), \quad (3.5)$$

$$T_{\{4}{}^{4\}4} = \vec{E}[\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{H}] - \vec{H}[\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{E}], \quad (3.6)$$

$$T_{\{i}{}^{j\}4} = \vec{\nabla} \vee [\vec{E} \times \vec{H}], \quad (3.7)$$

$$T_{[i}{}^{4\}4} = -i[(\vec{E}\vec{\nabla})\vec{E} + (\vec{H}\vec{\nabla})\vec{H} + \vec{E}(\vec{\nabla}\vec{E}) + \vec{H}(\vec{\nabla}\vec{H})], \quad (3.8)$$

$$\tilde{T}_i = \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{ijk}T_{[j}{}^{k\}4} = [(\vec{E}\vec{\nabla})\vec{H} - (\vec{H}\vec{\nabla})\vec{E} + \vec{H}(\vec{\nabla}\vec{E}) - \vec{E}(\vec{\nabla}\vec{H})]; \quad (3.9)$$

and for spin tensor, as opposed to ref. [36b], we obtained

$$S_4^{4,ij} = 0, \quad (3.10)$$

²Let us mention some analogy with the potential formulation of QED. In some sense the Lagrangian (3.2) corresponds to the choice of "gauge-fixing" parameter $\xi = -1$, $\mathcal{L}^H$ of ref. [35, formula (5)] corresponds to the "Landau gauge" ($\xi = 0$), and $\mathcal{L}^H$ (formula (9) of the cited paper), to the "Feynman gauge" ($\xi = 1$).

but

$$S_4^{4,i} = -4 \left[\vec{E} \times \vec{H} \right]_i. \quad (3.11)$$

At last, we have the same expressions for J_α^μ as in ref. [36b]:

$$J_{44} = -2(\vec{E}^2 + \vec{H}^2), \quad (3.12)$$

$$J_{4i} = 4i\epsilon_{ijk}E_jH_k, \quad (3.13)$$

$$J_{ij} = 2[(\vec{E}^2 + \vec{H}^2)\delta_{ij} - E_iE_j - H_iH_j], \quad (3.14)$$

which are the components of energy-momentum tensor in the common-used formulation of QED. Thus, "charge" is identified with the energy density of the field and energy-momentum conservation is associated not with translational invariance but with invariance under duality rotations;

3) Since the result of item (1) is in contradiction with Weinberg theorem about connection between (A, B) -representation of the Lorentz group and helicity of particle ($B - A = \lambda$, see Section 2) and, moreover, the Weinberg massless equations [5b] admit the acausal ($E \neq \pm p$) solutions [6c], new interpretation of the Weinberg's $S = 1$ bispinor has been proposed. It is based on the use of the axial-vector potential $\tilde{A}_k$ which is constructed from the strength tensor $\tilde{F}_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{i}{2}\epsilon_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu}F_{\mu\nu}$, dual to the electromagnetic field tensor,

$$\begin{cases} \Phi_k = \tilde{A}_k + iA_k, \\ \Xi_k = \tilde{A}_k - iA_k. \end{cases} \quad (3.15)$$

4) The interaction Hamiltonian (two $S = 1/2$ particles and one massless $S = 1$ particle), which was discussed, e. g., by Marinov (1968), ref. [37],

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_{\Psi\psi\psi} = g \sum_{\mu_1 \mu_2 \mu_3} & \begin{pmatrix} S_1 & S_2 & S_3 \\ \mu_1 & \mu_2 & \mu_3 \end{pmatrix} \phi_{(S_1)}^{\mu_1} \phi_{(S_2)}^{\mu_2} \phi_{(S_3)}^{\mu_3} \pm \\ & \pm \begin{pmatrix} S_1 & S_2 & S_3 \\ \dot{\mu}_1 & \dot{\mu}_2 & \dot{\mu}_3 \end{pmatrix} \chi_{(S_1)}^{\dot{\mu}_1} \chi_{(S_2)}^{\dot{\mu}_2} \chi_{(S_3)}^{\dot{\mu}_3}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.16)$$

where $\begin{pmatrix} S_1 & S_2 & S_3 \\ \mu_1 & \mu_2 & \mu_3 \end{pmatrix}$ are the Wigner $3j$ - symbols, appears to lead to the equations

$$i\hbar \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} = c\vec{\alpha} \cdot (\vec{p} - e\vec{A} - ie\gamma_5 \vec{A})\psi + mc^2\beta\psi, \quad (3.17)$$

which could give the equation very similar to the Dirac oscillator ones³:

$$\begin{cases} (E^2 - m^2)\xi = \left[\vec{p}^2 + m^2\omega^2 r^2 + 2iEm\omega(\vec{\sigma}\vec{r}) + 3m\omega + 4m\omega\vec{S}[\vec{r} \times \vec{p}] \right] \xi, \\ (E^2 - m^2)\eta = \left[\vec{p}^2 + m^2\omega^2 r^2 + 2iEm\omega(\vec{\sigma}\vec{r}) - 3m\omega - 4m\omega\vec{S}[\vec{r} \times \vec{p}] \right] \eta. \end{cases} \quad (3.18)$$

Similarly to [39] these equations include the term $\sim (\vec{\sigma}\vec{r})$ and are not invariant under parity transformation. To keep parity conservation it is necessary to assume that ω , a frequency, is a pseudoscalar quantity, what means complicated dispersion law. However, similarly to the case of interaction of four bispinors, "irregular" (terminology of Marinov) invariants (where upper and down components of bispinors are mixed) for interaction between such types of fields were pointed out in ref. [37] to be possible (see also ref. [5b, p.890]). In fact, in some sense the particle with spin one "consists" of two particles with spin 1/2 since vector and axial-vector have the transformation laws which are analogous to the ones of some combination of dotted and undotted spinor, ref. [40]:

$$\xi^{\alpha\dot{\beta}}(vector) \sim \xi^\alpha H^{\dot{\beta}} + \Xi^\alpha \eta^{\dot{\beta}} \quad (3.19)$$

$$\xi^{\alpha\dot{\beta}}(axial - vector) \sim \xi^\alpha H^{\dot{\beta}} - \Xi^\alpha \eta^{\dot{\beta}} \quad (3.20)$$

³In the version of Moshinsky [38] interaction has been introduced in Dirac equation in the form: $(\vec{\alpha}\vec{r})\beta$; in the scalar version [39] interaction has been introduced as $m \rightarrow m \left[1 + \frac{\omega}{c} r\gamma_5 \right]$.

This fact gives the opportunity to construct "irregular" invariant which leads to the same Dirac oscillator equations as proposed in ref. [38].

The appearance of the new term ($2iEm\omega(\vec{\sigma}\vec{r})$) can also be explained by the fact that it is possible to add in the formula (5) of the paper [38] both the term $-im\omega\beta\vec{r}$, which corresponds to the addition $\alpha_i \wedge \alpha_4 R_{4i}$ (where $R_{4j} = ir_j$), and the one $\frac{m\omega}{2}[\vec{\alpha} \times \vec{r}]$, which corresponds to the interaction term $\frac{1}{2}\alpha_i \wedge \alpha_j R_{ij}$ (where $R_{ij} = \epsilon_{ijk}r_k$), in accordance with bivector construction rules as the expansion in Clifford algebra in the Minkowsky 4- dimensional space [41]. Thus, the interaction term for the Dirac oscillator is possible to define:

$$R = -m\omega\alpha_i \wedge \alpha_4 R_{4i} + \frac{m\omega}{2}\alpha_i \wedge \alpha_j R_{ij}. \quad (3.21)$$

(cf. formula (32) in ref. [41, p. 244]). Thus, instead of the minimal form of electromagnetic interaction ($\gamma_\mu A_\mu$) we have the bivector form interaction (similarly to introduction of the Pauli term but not applying to antisymmetric field tensor).

We have also deduced the Hamiltonians for interaction of various spin particles from Eq. (3.16) following ideas of decomposing left- and right- spinors into scalar (vector) and pseudoscalar (axial-vector) parts. E. g., the Hamiltonian for interaction two spin-1/2 particles with spin- 0 particle ($\Phi^{(S=0)} = \phi + i\tilde{\phi}$ and $\Xi^{(S=0)} = \phi - i\tilde{\phi}$) is

$$\mathcal{H}_{\bar{\psi}\psi\phi} = \frac{g}{\sqrt{2}} \left\{ \bar{\psi}\psi\phi - i\bar{\psi}\gamma_5\psi\tilde{\phi} \right\}. \quad (3.22)$$

5) The presented formalism has been used for calculation of the scattering amplitude for two gluon interaction

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{T}_{gg}^{(2)}(\vec{k}(-)\vec{p}, \vec{p}) = & -3g_V^2 \left\{ \frac{[p_0(\Delta_0 + M) + (\vec{p}\vec{\Delta})]^2 - M^3(\Delta_0 + M)}{M^3(\Delta_0 - M)} + \right. \\ & + \frac{i(\vec{S}_1 + \vec{S}_2) [\vec{p}\vec{\Delta}]}{\Delta_0 - M} \left[\frac{p_0(\Delta_0 + M) + \vec{p}\vec{\Delta}}{M^3} \right] + \frac{(\vec{S}_1\vec{\Delta})(\vec{S}_2\vec{\Delta}) - (\vec{S}_1\vec{S}_2)\vec{\Delta}^2}{2M(\Delta_0 - M)} - \\ & \left. - \frac{1}{M^3} \frac{\vec{S}_1 [\vec{p}\vec{\Delta}]}{\Delta_0 - M} \vec{S}_2 [\vec{p}\vec{\Delta}] \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.23)$$

Analogously to the earlier works devoted to fermion-fermion interaction [42], we have

$$\vec{\Delta} \equiv \Lambda_{\vec{p}}^{-1} \vec{k} \equiv \vec{k}(-)\vec{p} = \vec{k} - \frac{\vec{p}}{M} \left(k_0 - \frac{\vec{k}\vec{p}}{p_0 + M} \right), \quad (3.24)$$

$$\Delta_0 \equiv (\Lambda_{\vec{p}}^{-1} k)_0 = (k_0 p_0 - \vec{k}\vec{p})/M, \quad (3.25)$$

which are the 4-vector of momentum transfer in the Lobachevsky space. The remarkable fact is that the amplitude coincides with the amplitude for interaction of two spinor particles in the Lobachevsky space ($p_0^2 - \vec{p}^2 = M^2$) except for obvious substitutions $1/[2m(\Delta_0 - m)] \rightarrow 1/\vec{\Delta}^2$ and $\vec{S} \rightarrow \vec{\sigma}$. Furthermore, three - dimensional covariant equal-time (quasipotential) equations have been found for the composite systems, namely, fermion - boson of $S = 1$ (quark-diquark system), ref. [34d], and two bosons of spin $S = 1$ (gluonium), ref. [34g] and relativistic partial-wave quasipotential equations have been obtained for the singlet gluonium state, the triplet one and the 5-plet gluonium state in the relativistic configurational representation (RCR), ref. [34e], which is generalization of x -representation. Shapiro plane-wave functions [43] are to be used instead of Fourier transformation, i. e.

$$V(r, \vec{n}; \vec{p}) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3} \int d\Omega_{\Delta} \xi^*(\vec{\Delta}; \vec{r}) V(\vec{\Delta}, \vec{p}), \quad (3.26)$$

for the quasipotential and

$$\Psi_{\sigma_1\sigma_2}(r, \vec{n}) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3} \int d\Omega_p \xi(\vec{p}; \vec{r}) \Psi_{\sigma_1\sigma_2}(\vec{p}), \quad (3.27)$$

for the bound state WF. The integration measure is

$$d\Omega_p \equiv d^3\vec{p} / \sqrt{1 + \vec{p}^2/M^2}. \quad (3.28)$$

It is the invariant measure on the hyperboloid, $p_0^2 - \vec{p}^2 = M^2$. The system of functions $\xi(\vec{p}; \vec{n}, r)$ is the complete orthogonal system of functions in the Lobachevsky space,

$$\xi(\vec{p}; \vec{n}; r) \equiv \left(\frac{p_0 - \vec{p}\vec{n}}{M} \right)^{-1-irM}. \quad (3.29)$$

6) In the connection with the importance of axial-vector potential let me also reproduce the amplitude for interaction between two fermions mediated axial-vector massless particle in the Lobachevsky space.

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{T}_{AV}^{(2)} = & -g_{AV}^2 \left\{ (\vec{\sigma}_1 \vec{\sigma}_2) \frac{\Delta_0 + m}{\Delta_0 - m} + 2(\vec{\sigma}_1 \vec{p})(\vec{\sigma}_2 \vec{p}) \frac{\Delta_0 + m}{m^2(\Delta_0 - m)} + \right. \\ & + 2 \left[(\vec{\sigma}_1 \vec{p})(\vec{\sigma}_2 \vec{\Delta}) + (\vec{\sigma}_1 \vec{\Delta})(\vec{\sigma}_2 \vec{p}) \right] \frac{p_0}{m^2(\Delta_0 - m)} + \\ & \left. + (\vec{\sigma}_1 \vec{\Delta})(\vec{\sigma}_2 \vec{\Delta}) \frac{2p_0^2 - m^2}{m^2 \Delta^2} \right\} \end{aligned} \quad (3.30)$$

It can be used for realization of calculation program mentioned in *footnote 1*.

7) The relativistic analogue of the Shay-Good Hamiltonian has also been obtained in the Lobachevsky space. The new magnetic momentum vector has been defined [34c,h].

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{H} = & \left\{ -\frac{e}{M} \left[(p_\mu A_\mu) \left(1 + \frac{1}{3} \frac{\Delta_0 - M}{M} \left(1 - \lambda - \frac{2\kappa}{3} \frac{\Delta_0 - M}{M} \right) \right) + \right. \right. \\ & + \frac{1}{2M^2} (\vec{\Theta} \vec{E}) \left(1 + \lambda + \frac{\Delta_0 - M}{M} \kappa \right) - \frac{1}{2M^2} (\vec{\Xi} \vec{B}) \left(1 + \lambda + \frac{\Delta_0 - M}{M} \kappa \right) + \\ & + \frac{(p_\mu A_\mu)}{2M(\Delta_0 + M)} Q_{ik} \Delta_i \Delta_k \left(1 - \lambda - 2\kappa - \frac{2}{3} \frac{\Delta_0 - M}{M} \kappa \right) \left. \right] + \\ & + 2e^2 \left[A_\mu^2 \left(1 + \frac{2\kappa}{3} \frac{\Delta_0 - M}{M} \right) + A_\mu^2 \frac{(\vec{S} \vec{\Delta})^2}{M(\Delta_0 + M)} \left(1 + \frac{2\kappa}{3} \frac{\Delta_0 - M}{M} - \frac{\kappa}{2} \frac{\Delta_0 + M}{M} \right) - \right. \\ & - \frac{1}{M^3} (p_\mu A_\mu)(W_\nu A_\nu)(\vec{S} \vec{\Delta}) - \frac{1}{M^2} (W_\mu A_\mu)(W_\nu A_\nu) \left(1 + \frac{\Delta_0 - M}{M} \kappa \right) - \\ & \left. - \frac{1}{M^2} (W_\mu A_\mu)(W_\nu A_\nu) \frac{(\vec{S} \vec{\Delta})^2}{M(\Delta_0 + M)} (1 - 2\kappa) \right] \left. \right\} \otimes D^{(1)} \left\{ V^{-1}(\Lambda_{\vec{p}}, \vec{k}) \right\} \end{aligned} \quad (3.31)$$

In Eq. (3.31) the vectors

$$\vec{\Theta} = (\Sigma_{(41)}, \Sigma_{(42)}, \Sigma_{(43)}), \quad (3.32)$$

$$\vec{\Xi} = i (\Sigma_{(23)}, \Sigma_{(31)}, \Sigma_{(12)}), \quad (3.33)$$

constructed from the tensor components $\Sigma_{(\mu\nu)}(\vec{p})$,

$$\Sigma_{(\mu\nu)}(\vec{p}) = \frac{1}{2} \{ W_\mu(\vec{p}) W_\nu(\vec{p}) - W_\nu(\vec{p}) W_\mu(\vec{p}) \}, \quad (3.34)$$

have been used. Here $W_\mu(\vec{p})$ is the Pauli-Lyuban'sky 4- vector of relativistic spin, Q_{ik} is the quadrupole momentum tensor for vector particle. Thus, the vector $\frac{e}{2M^3} \vec{\Xi}$ could be defined as the vector of the magnetic momentum for $S = 1$ particle moving with the linear momentum $\vec{p}$.

4 Conclusions

Conclusions are:

— Searches of satisfactory theories for description of high-spin particles (as well as alternative formulation of vector boson theory) do have definite reasons because of some shortcomings of usual models.

— Weinberg's $2(2S + 1)$ - formalism, which is used in the present work, is very similar to the standard Dirac's approach to spinor particles and, therefore, seems to be convenient for practical calculations.

— Interpretation of WF of massless $S = 1$ particle, which had been given by Weinberg [5b], is not sufficiently satisfactory.

— We built, in fact, a bivector form of interaction between spinor particle and Weinberg's vector boson instead of a minimal form of interaction in the case of the proposed interpretation of the Weinberg's WF (Eq. 3.15).

— Estimations of eventual influence of this model on the experimental results deserve further elaboration.

Note Added. I am very grateful to Prof. J. S. Dowker for drawing my attention to his papers and papers of Morgan and Joseph (see *Appendix*) who proposed tensor Lagrangians which the Lagrangian (3.4) is similar to.

References

- [1] Particle Data Group, *Review of Particle properties*. *Phys. Rev. D***45** (part II) (1992)
- [2] W. Rarita and J. Schwinger, *Phys. Rev.* **60** (1941) 61
- [3] V. Bargmann and E. P. Wigner, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. (USA) **34** (1948) 211
- [4] H. Joos, *Forts. Phys.* **10** (1962) 65
- [5] S. Weinberg, *Phys. Rev.* **133** (1964) B1318; *ibid* **134** (1964) B882; *ibid* **181** (1969) 1893.
- [6] D. V. Ahluwalia and D. J. Ernst, *Phys. Lett. B***287** (1992) 18; *Phys. Rev. C***45** (1992) 3010; *Mod. Phys. Lett. A***7** (1992) 1967
- [7] D. V. Ahluwalia and T. Goldman, *Mod. Phys. Lett. A***8** (1993) 2623; D. V. Ahluwalia, T. Goldman and M. B. Johnson, *Phys. Lett. B***316** (1993) 102; Preprints LA-UR-93-2645, 4314, 4315, 4316, Los Alamos, 1993
- [8] E. P. Wigner, in *Group Theoretical Concepts and Methods in Elementary Particle Physics – Lectures of the Istanbul Summer School of Theoretical Physics, 1962*. Ed. F. Gürsey
- [9] B. F. Hatfield *Quantum Field Theory of Point and Strings*. Addison-Wesley, Rendwood, U. S. A., 1992
- [10] E. M. Corson, *Introduction to Tensors, Spinors and Relativistic Wave Equations. Relation Structure*. New York, Chelsea, 1982
- [11] W. Thirring and H. Narnhofer, Preprint UWThPh-1991-63 (mp-arc/93-11), Wien, 1991; H. Huffel, *Phys. Lett. B***296** (1992) 233
- [12] J. Hosek, Preprint JINR E2-82-542, Jul. 1982; Preprint CERN-TH-4104/85, Feb 1985; *Phys. Rev. D***36** (1987) 2093
- [13] D. G. Boulware, *Ann. Phys.* **56** (1970) 140; A. Salam and J. Strathdee, *Phys. Rev. D***2** (1970) 2869.
- [14] M. Sheinblatt and R. Arnowitt, *Phys. Rev. D***1** (1970) 1603
- [15] A. C. Tam and W. Happer, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **38** (1977) 278
- [16] P. C. Naik and T. Pradhan, *J. Phys. A***14** (1981) 2795; T. Pradhan, R. P. Malik and P. C. Naik, *Pramana J. Phys.* **24** (1985) 77
- [17] M. C. Nemes *et al.*, Preprints hep-th 9307068, 9307069, 9307070, 9309155, 9311106.
- [18] G. S. Adkins, A. A. Salahuddin and K. E. Schalm, *Phys. Rev. A***45** (1992) 3333; *ibid*, 7774
- [19] C. I. Westbrook, D. W. Gidley, R. S. Conti and A. Rich, *Phys. Rev. A* **40** (1989) 5489; J. S. Nico, D. W. Gidley, A. Rich and P. W. Zitzewitz, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **65** (1990) 1344
- [20] M. A. Samuel and G. Li, Preprint SLAC-PUB-6318, Stanford, 1993
- [21] D. L. Weaver, C. L. Hammer and R. H. Good, jr., *Phys. Rev.* **135** (1964) B241
- [22] A. O. Barut, I. Muzinich and D. N. Williams, *Phys. Rev.* **130** (1963) 442
- [23] P. M. Mathews, *Phys. Rev.* **143** (1966) 978
- [24] S. A. Williams, J. P. Draayer and T. A. Weber, *Phys. Rev.* **152** (1966) 1207
- [25] A. Sankaranarayanan and R. H. Good, Jr., *Nuovo Cimento* **36** (1965) 1303; A. Sankaranarayanan, *Nuovo Cimento* **38** (1965) 889

- [26] D. Shay and R. H. Good , jr., *Phys. Rev.* **179** (1969) 1410; L. D. Krase, Pao Lu and R. H. Good, jr., *Phys. Rev.* **D3** (1971) 1275
- [27] R. H. Tucker and C. L. Hammer, *Phys. Rev.* **D3** (1971) 2448
- [28] D. Shay, H. S. Song and R. H. Good, jr., *Nuovo Cimento Suppl.* **3** (1965) 455
- [29] C. L. Hammer, S. C. McDonald and D. L. Pursey, *Phys. Rev.* **171** (1968) 1349
- [30] E. Majorana, *Scientific manuscripts* (1928-32), as reported E. Recami, R. Mignani and M. Baldo, *Lett. Nuovo Cim.* **11** (1974) 568
- [31] T. L. Chow, *J. Phys.* **A14** (1981) 2173
- [32] E. Gianetto, *Lett. Nuovo Cim.* **44** (1985) 140, 145
- [33] L. H. Ryder, *Quantum Field Theory*. Cambridge Univ. Press, 1985
- [34] V. V. Dvoeglazov, *Hadronic J.* **16** (1993) 423; 459; Preprints IFUNAM FT-93-19, 24, 35, Mexico, 1993; V. V. Dvoeglazov and N. B. Skachkov, JINR Communications P2-84-199, P2-87-882, Dubna, 1987; *Sov. J. Nucl. Phys.* **48** (1988) 1065
- [35] K. Hayashi, *Phys. Lett.* **B44** (1973) 497.
- [36] D. M. Lipkin , *J. Math. Phys.* **5** (1964) 696; A. Sudbery, *J. Phys.* **A19** (1986) L33.
- [37] M. S. Marinov, *Ann. Phys.* **49** (1968) 357
- [38] M. Moshinsky M. and A. Szczepaniak, *J. of Phys.* **A22** (1989) L817
- [39] V. V. Dixit, T. S. Santhanam and W. D. Thacker, *J. Math. Phys.* **33** (1992) 1114
- [40] V. B. Berestetskii, E. M. Lifshitz and L. P. Pitaevskii, *Relativistic Quantum Theory. Part I*. Pergamon Press, 1979
- [41] B. Jancewicz, *Multivectors and Clifford Algebra in Electrodynamics*. World Sci. Singapore, 1988
- [42] N. B. Skachkov, JINR Communications P2-12152, Dubna, 1979; JINR Preprints E2-81-294, E2-81-308, E2-81-399, Dubna, 1981; N. B. Skachkov and I. L. Solovtsov, *Sov. J. Part. and Nucl.* **9** (1978) 1
- [43] I. S. Shapiro, *Sov. Phys. Doklady* **1** (1956) 91; *Sov. Phys. JETP* **43** (1962) 1727; *Phys. Lett.* **1** (1962) 253

APPENDIX

Here we present an overview of literature related to $2(2S + 1)$ component formalism for description of high spin particles.

1959-70

1. R. H. Good, jr. Theory of particles with zero rest-mass. In *Lectures in Theoretical Physics. Summer Institute for Theoretical Physics. 1958. Vol. 1.* Eds. W. E. Brittin and L. G. Dunham. Interscience Publ. Inc. New York, 1959, p. 30-81
2. H. Joos. Zur Darstellungstheorie der inhomogenen Lorentzgruppe als Grundlage quantenmechanischer Kinematik. *Forts. Phys.* **103**, 65-146 (1962)
3. A. O. Barut, I. Muzinich and D. N. Williams. Construction of invariant scattering amplitudes for arbitrary spins and analytic continuation in total angular momentum. *Phys. Rev.* **130**(1), 442-457 (1963)
4. S. Weinberg. Feynman rules for any spin. *Phys. Rev.* **133**(5B), 1318-1332 (1964)
5. S. Weinberg. Feynman rules for any spin. II. Massless particles. *Phys. Rev.* **134**(4B), 882-896 (1964)
6. D. L. Weaver, C. L. Hammer and R. H. Good, jr. Description of a particle with arbitrary mass and spin. *Phys. Rev.* **135**(1B), 241-248 (1964)
7. S. Weinberg. The quantum theory of massless particles. In *Lectures on Particles and Field Theory. Brandeis Summer Institute in Theoretical Physics. 1964. Vol. 2.* Eds. S. Deser and K. W. Ford. Prentice Hall Inc., New Jersey, 1965, p. 405-485
8. D. N. Williams. The Dirac algebra for any spin. In *Lectures in Theoretical Physics. Summer Institute for Theoretical Physics. 1964. Vol. VII A - Lorentz Group.* Eds. W. E. Brittin and A. O. Barut. University of Colorado Press, Boulder, 1965, p. 139-172
9. R. Shaw. Unitary representations of the inhomogeneous Lorentz group. *Nuovo Cim.* **33**(4), 1074-1090 (1964)
10. D. L. Pursey. General theory of covariant particle equations. *1 Ann. Phys. (N.Y.)* **32**(1), 157-191 (1965)
11. A. Sankaranarayanan and R. H. Good, jr. Spin-one equation. *Nuovo Cim.* **36**(4), 1303-1315 (1965)
12. R. Shaw. Zero-mass and nondecomposable representations. *Nuovo Cim.* **37**(3), 1086-1099 (1965)
13. D. J. Candlin. Physical operators and representations of the inhomogeneous Lorentz group. *Nuovo Cim.* **37**(4), 1396-1406 (1965)
14. A. Sankaranarayanan and R. H. Good, jr. Position operators in relativistic single-particle theories. *Phys. Rev.* **140**(2B), 509-513 (1965)
15. D. Shay, H. S. Song and R. H. Good, jr. Spin three-halves wave equations. *Nuovo Cim. Suppl.* **3**(3), 455-476 (1965)
16. A. Sankaranarayanan. Covariant polarization theory of spin-one particles. *Nuovo Cim.* **38**(2), 889-906 (1965)
17. T. A. Morgan and D. W. Joseph. Tensor Lagrangians and generalized conservation laws for free fields. *Nuovo Cim.* **39**(2), 494-503 (1965)
18. A. Sankaranarayanan. Covariant multipole polarization operators and density matrices for any spin. *Nuovo Cim.* **A41**(4), 532-542 (1966)
19. P. M. Mathews. Relativistic Schrödinger equations for particles of arbitrary spin. *Phys. Rev.* **143**(4), 978-985 (1966)
20. P. M. Mathews. Invariant scalar product and observables in a relativistic theory of particles of arbitrary spin. *Phys. Rev.* **143**(4), 985-989 (1966)
21. S. A. Williams, J. P. Draayer and T. A. Weber. Spin-matrix polynomial development of the Hamiltonian for a free particle of arbitrary spin and mass. *Phys. Rev.* **152**(4), 1207-1212 (1966)
22. D. Shay. Ph. D. thesis 67-2092, Iowa State University, 1966
23. J. S. Dowker and Y. P. Dowker. Interactions of massless particles of arbitrary spin. *Proc. Roy. Soc. A (London)* **294**(1437), 175-194 (1966)
24. J. S. Dowker and Y. P. Dowker. Particles of arbitrary spin in curved spaces. *Proc. Phys. Soc.* **87**(1), 65-78 (1966)
25. J. S. Dowker. Coulomb scattering for arbitrary spin. *Proc. Phys. Soc.* **89**(), 353-364 (1966)
26. J. S. Dowker. A wave equation for massive particles of arbitrary spin. *Proc. Roy. Soc. A (London)* **297**(1450), 351-364 (1967)
27. J. S. Dowker. A note on the Rainich problem. *Proc. Phys. Soc.* **91**(1), 23-27 (1967)
28. J. S. Dowker. On the 'Zilch' of gravitational fields. *Proc. Phys. Soc.* **91**(1), 28-30 (1967)
29. J. S. Dowker. Consistent higher-spin wave equations. *Nuovo Cim. Suppl.* **5**(3), 734-738 (1967)
30. Wu-Ki Tung. Relativistic wave equations and field theory for arbitrary spin. *Phys. Rev.* **156**(5), 1385-1398 (1967)
31. J. S. Dowker. The generalized Bel-Robinson tensor as a generator. *J. Phys. A* **1**(2), 277-279 (1968)
32. T. J. Nelson and R. H. Good, jr. Second-quantization process for particles with any spin and with internal symmetry. *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **40**(3), 508-522 (1968)
33. C. L. Hammer, S. C. McDonald and D. L. Pursey. Wave equations on a hyperplane. *Phys. Rev.* **171**(5), 1349-1356 (1968)
34. M. S. Marinov. Construction of invariant amplitudes for interactions of particles with any spin. *Ann. Phys. (N.Y.)* **49**(3), 357-392 (1968)
35. J. S. Dowker and M. Goldstone. The geometry and algebra of the representation of the Lorentz group. *Proc. Roy. Soc. A (London)* **303**(1474), 381-396 (1968)
36. D. Shay and R. H. Good, jr. Spin-one particle in an external electromagnetic field. *Phys. Rev.* **179**(5), 1410-1417 (1969)
37. Y. Frishman and C. Itzykson. Massless particles and fields. *Phys. Rev.* **180**(5), 1556-1571 (1969)
38. S. Weinberg. Feynman rules for any spin. III. *Phys. Rev.* **181**(5), 1893-1899 (1969)
39. P. M. Mathews. Massless particles: relation between helicity and the transformation character of the wave function. *J. Math. & Phys. Sci. (India)* **4**(1), 58-63 (1970)
40. T. J. Nelson and R. H. Good, jr. Lorentz-covariant matrices for elementary-particle theories as polynomials in the spin matrices. *J. Math. Phys.* **11**(4), 1355-1359 (1970)

1971-80

41. P. M. Mathews. Wave equations for arbitrary spin. In *Lectures in Theoretical Physics. Summer Institute for Theoretical Physics. 1971. Vol. XII C* Gordon & Breach, New York, 1971, p. 139
42. L. D. Krase, Pao Lu and R. H. Good, jr. Stationary states of a spin-1 particle in a constant magnetic field. *Phys. Rev.* **D3**(6), 1275-1279 (1971)
43. M. Seetharaman, J. Jayaraman and P. M. Mathews. Arbitrary-spin wave equations and Lorentz invariance. *J. Math. Phys.* **12**(5), 835-840 (1971)
44. R. H. Tucker and C. L. Hammer. New quantum electrodynamics for vector mesons. *Phys. Rev.* **D3**(10), 2448-2460 (1971)
45. C. L. Hammer and R. H. Tucker. A method of quantization for relativistic fields. *J. Math. Phys.* **12**(7), 1327-1333 (1971)
46. M. Seetharaman, J. Jayaraman and P. M. Mathews. Relativistic wave equations: proper Lorentz invariance and invariance under discrete transformations. *J. Math. Phys.* **12**(8), 1620-1622 (1971)
47. P. M. Mathews and M. Seetharaman. Arbitrary spin fields: spectral representation for two-point functions and the connection between spin and statistics. *Nucl. Phys.* **B31**(2), 551-569 (1971)
48. R. N. Faustov. Relativistic transformation of one-particle wave functions (in Russian). Preprint ITF-71-117P, Kiev, Oct. 1971
49. Wu-yang Tsai and A. Yildiz. Motion of charged particles in a homogeneous magnetic field. *Phys. Rev.* **D4**(12), 3643-3648 (1971)
50. T. Goldman and Wu-yang Tsai. Motion of charged particles in a homogeneous magnetic field. II. *Phys. Rev.* **D4**(12), 3648-3651 (1971)
51. Wu-yang Tsai. Motion of spin-1 particles in Homogeneous magnetic field – Multispinor formalism. *Phys. Rev.* **D4**(12), 3652-3657 (1971)
52. C. L. Hammer and T. A. Weber. Field theory for stable and unstable particles. *Phys. Rev.* **D5**(11), 3087-3102 (1972)
53. M. Seetharman and P. M. Mathews. Poincaré and TCP invariance in the determination of wave equations for particles of arbitrary spin. *J. Math. Phys.* **13**(7), 938-943 (1972)
54. J. Jayaraman. Proper Poincaré invariance in the determination of arbitrary-spin wave equations: constraints from discrete symmetries. *Nuovo Cim.* **13A**(4), 877-896 (1973)
55. J. Jayaraman. Invariant scalar products and quantization of general Poincaré-invariant wave equations. *Nuovo Cim.* **14A**(2), 343-362 (1973)
56. C. L. Hammer and B. deFacio. Unstable Goldstone bosons and the elimination of scalar particles from gauge theories. *Phys. Rev.* **D10**(4), 1225-1236 (1974)
57. B. deFacio and C. L. Hammer. Remarks on the Klauder phenomenon. *J. Math. Phys.* **15**(7), 1071-1077 (1974)
58. R. F. Guertin. Relativistic Hamiltonian equations for any spin. *Ann. Phys. (N.Y.)* **88**(2), 504-533 (1974)
59. K. Achenbach, Weinberg fields, propagators and van Hove model (in German). Thesis, Bonn-IR-75-45, Oct. 1975
60. Yu. V. Novozhilov. *Introduction to Elementary Particle Theory*. Pergamon Press, Oxford, 1975, section 5.3
61. N. H. Fuchs. How to construct a relativistic $SU(6)$ classification symmetry group. *Phys. Rev.* **D11**(6), 1569-1579 (1975)
62. L. O' Raifeartaigh. Weight diagrams for superfields. *Nucl. Phys.* **B89**(3), 418-428 (1975)
63. W. Becker and H. Mitter. Relativistic theory of vector mesons in laser fields. *Acta Phys. Austr.* **43**(3-4), 335-339 (1975)
64. R. F. Guertin. Foldy-Wouthuysen transformations for any spin. *Ann. Phys. (N.Y.)* **91**(2), 386-412 (1975)
65. M. J. King, L. Durand and K. C. Wali. A resonance-sum model for Reggeization in the scattering of particles with arbitrary spin. *Phys. Rev.* **D13**(5), 1409-1429 (1976)
66. P. Hoyer and H. B. Thacker. Resonance contributions to finite energy sum rules. *Phys. Rev.* **D13**(7), 2033-2063 (1976)
67. H. van Dam and L. C. Biedenharn. The kinematics of a Poincaré covariant object having indecomposable internal structure. *Phys. Rev.* **D14**(2), 405-417 (1976)
68. R. F. Guertin and C. G. Trahern. Linear relativistic Hamiltonians. *Ann. Phys. (N.Y.)* **98**(1), 50-69 (1976)
69. R. A. Krajcik and M. M. Nieto. Foldy-Wouthuysen transformations in an indefinite metric space. 4. Exact, closed form expressions for first order wave equations. *Phys. Rev.* **D15**(2), 426-432 (1976)
70. G. Post. Properties of massless relativistic fields under the conformal group. *J. Math. Phys.* **17**(1), 24-32 (1976)
71. R. F. Guertin and T. L. Wilson. Noncausal propagation in spin-0 theories with external field interactions. *Phys. Rev.* **D15**(6), 1518-1531 (1977)
72. R. F. Guertin and T. L. Wilson. Sakata-Taketani spin 0 theory with external field interactions: Lagrangian formalism and causal properties. *Ann. Phys. (N.Y.)* **104**(2), 427-459 (1977)
73. G. Grensing. Quantized fields over de Sitter space. *J. Phys. A* **10**(10), 1687-1719 (1977)
74. H. M. Ruck and W. Greiner. A study of the electromagnetic interaction given by relativistic spin-1 wave equations in elastic scattering of polarized spin-1 nuclei or mesons. *J. Phys. G* **3**(5), 657-680 (1977)
75. M. D. Birrell. Stress tensor conformal anomaly for Weinberg-type fields in curved spacetimes. *J. Phys. A* **12**(3), 337-351 (1979)
76. B. Vijayalakshmi, M. Seetharaman and P. M. Mathews. Consistency of spin 1 theories in external electromagnetic fields. *J. Phys. A* **12**(5), 665-677 (1979)
77. J. León, M. Quirós and J. Ramirez Mittelbrunn. Group content of the Foldy-Wouthuysen transformation and nonrelativistic limit for arbitrary spin. *J. Math. Phys.* **20**(6), 1068-1076 (1979)
78. J. O. Eeg. Calculations of Dirac spinor amplitudes by means of complex Lorentz transformations and trace calculus. *J. Math. Phys.* **21**(1), 170-174 (1980)

1981-90

79. A. W. Weidemann. Quantum fields in a "Lorentz basis". *Nuovo Cim.* **57A**(2), 221-233 (1981)
80. D. Han. The little group for photons and gauge transformations. *Am. J. Phys.* **49**(4), 348-351 (1981)

81. E. van der Spuy. The weak interaction related to the strong interaction. *Nuovo Cim.* **71A**(3), 305-332 (1982)
82. R. Y. Levine and Y. Tomozawa. Supersymmetry and Lie algebras. *J. Math. Phys.* **23**(8), 1415-1421 (1982)
83. D. J. Almond. Off mass shell massless particles and the Weyl group in light cone coordinates. *J. Phys. A* **15**(3), 743-771 (1982)
84. D. Han. Photon spin as a rotation in gauge space. *Phys. Rev.* **D25**(2), 461-463 (1982)
85. V. V. Dvoeglazov and N. B. Skachkov. Three-dimensional covariant equation for a composite system formed with a fermion and a boson (in Russian). JINR Communications P2-84-199, Dubna, Mar. 1984
86. S. Weinberg. Causality, anti-particles and the spin statistics connection in higher dimensions. *Phys. Lett.* **143B**(1-3), 97-102 (1984)
87. D. Han, Y. S. Kim and D. Son. Unitary transformations of photon polarization vectors. *Phys. Rev.* **D31**(2), 328-330 (1985)
88. N. Ohta. Causal fields and spin statistics connection for massless particles in higher dimensions. *Phys. Rev.* **D31**(2), 442-445 (1985)
89. F. D. Santos. Relativistic spin-1 dynamics and deuteron-nucleus elastic scattering. *Phys. Lett.* **175B**(2), 110-114 (1986)
90. L. Lukaszuk and L. Szimanowski. Tensor and bispinor representation of massless fields. *Phys. Rev.* **D36**(8), 2440-2457 (1987)
91. J. S. Dowker. Vacuum averages for arbitrary spin around a cosmic string. *Phys. Rev.* **D36**(12), 3742-3746 (1987)
92. M. Daniel. On the fundamental spinor fields representing massless fermions in D - dimensions. *Phys. Lett.* **197B**(3), 363-367 (1987)
93. V. V. Dvoeglazov and N. B. Skachkov. Gluonium mass spectrum in the quasipotential approach (in Russian). JINR Communications P2-87-882, Dubna, Dec. 1987
94. V. V. Dvoeglazov and N. B. Skachkov. Relativistic parametrization of the Hamiltonian for the vector particle interaction with the external electromagnetic field. *Yadern. Fiz.* **48**(6), 1770-1774 ; *Sov. J. Nucl. Phys.* **48**(6), 1065-1068 (1988)
95. M. Sivakumar. Consistent spin one theories by Kaluza-Klein dimensional reduction. *Phys. Rev.* **D37**(6), 1690-1693 (1988)
96. F. D. Santos. Relativistic models of deuteron-nucleus scattering. In *Proc. of the Workshop on Relativistic Nuclear Many-Body Physics. Columbus, OH, U.S.A., June 6-9, 1988*. Eds. B. C. Clark, R. J. Perry and J. P. Vary. World Scientific, Singapore, pp. 458-475 (1989)
97. R. H. Good, jr. Relativistic wave equations for particles in electromagnetic fields. *Ann. Phys. (N.Y.)* **196**(1), 1-11 (1989)
98. J. Jayaraman and M. A. B. de Oliveira. On the eigenvalues of $S\pi$ for arbitrary spin in a constant magnetic field. *J. Phys. A* **22**(17), 3531-3536 (1989)
99. D. V. Ahluwalia and D. J. Ernst. Is light front quantum field theory merely a change of coordinates? In *Proc. of HUGS at CEBAF. Hampton, VA, May 29-Jun 16, 1990*, pp. 179-195
100. D. V. Ahluwalia and D. J. Ernst. Causal and relativistic propagator for spin-2 hadrons. Preprint CTP-TAMU-28-91, Texas A& M, 1991
101. D. V. Ahluwalia and D. J. Ernst. Relativistic phenomenology of high spin hadrons. 1. Covariant spinors and causal propagators. Preprint CTP-TAMU-57-91, Texas A& M, 1991
102. V. V. Dvoeglazov. Quantum field description of interactions between two relativistic particles having various spins (in Russian). Cand. Sci. (Ph. D.) dissertation JINR 2-91-331, Dubna, Jul. 1991
103. D. V. Ahluwalia. Relativistic quantum field theory of high spin matter fields: a pragmatic approach for hadronic physics. Ph. D. thesis UMI-92-06454-mc, Texas A& M University, Aug. 1991
104. F. D. Santos and H. van Dam. Relativistic dynamics of spin-one particles and deuteron-nucleus scattering. *Phys. Rev.* **C34**(1), 250-261 (1991)
105. D. V. Ahluwalia and D. J. Ernst. On kinematical acausality in Weinberg's equations for arbitrary spin. In *Classical and Quantum Systems: Foundations and Symmetries. Proc. of the 2nd Int. Wigner Symp. Groslar, Germany, July 16-20, 1991*.
106. D. V. Ahluwalia. Instant form $\rightarrow$ front form: collapse of spinorial degrees of freedom from four $\rightarrow$ two and related matters. Preprint CTP-TAMU-87-91, Texas A & M, Oct. 1991
107. V. K. Mishra *et al.* Implications of various spin-one relativistic wave equations for intermediate-energy deuteron-nucleus scattering. *Phys. Rev.* **C43**(2), 801-811 (1991)
108. A. Amorim and F. D. Santos. From Dirac phenomenology to deuteron-nucleus elastic scattering at intermediate energies. *Phys. Rev.* **C44**(5), 2100-2110 (1991)
109. D. V. Ahluwalia and D. J. Ernst. Phenomenological approach to high-spin quantum fields based on Weinberg formalism. *Phys. Rev.* **C45**(6), 3010-3012 (1992)
110. D. V. Ahluwalia and D. J. Ernst. Conceptual framework for high spin hadronic physics. In *AIP Conf. - Proceedings of the Computational Quantum Physics Conference. Nashville, TN, May 23-25, 1991*. Vol. 260, pp. 309-315 (1992)
111. D. V. Ahluwalia and D. J. Ernst. Paradoxical kinematic acausality in Weinberg's equations for massless particles of arbitrary spin. *Mod. Phys. Lett.* **A7**(22), 1967-1974 (1992)
112. D. V. Ahluwalia. Interpolating Dirac spinors between instant and light front forms. *Phys. Lett.* **B277**(3), 243-248 (1992)
113. D. V. Ahluwalia and D. J. Ernst. New arbitrary-spin wave equations for $(j, 0) \oplus (0, j)$ matter fields without kinematic acausality and constraints. *Phys. Lett.* **B287**(1), 18-22 (1992)
114. L. P. Horwitz and N. Shnerb. On the group theory of the polarization states of a gauge field. Preprint IASSNS-92-56, Princeton, Sept. 1992
115. V. Bhansali. How the little group constrains massless field representations in higher even dimensions. Preprint HUTP-92-A052 (hep-th/9209128), Harvard, Oct. 1992
116. D. V. Ahluwalia and M. Sawicki. Natural hadronic degrees of freedom for an effective QCD action in the front form. Preprint LA-UR-92-3133 (hep-ph/9210204), Los Alamos, 1992

117. D. V. Ahluwalia, D. J. Ernst and C. Burgard. Dirac-like relativistic phenomenology of high spin hadrons. 2. Kinematic structure of the Weinberg equations. Preprint 93-0167, Los Alamos, 1993
118. V. V. Dvoeglazov and S. V. Khudyakov. Vector particle interactions in the quasipotential approach. Preprint IFUNAM FT-93-019 (hep-ph/9306246), Mexico, Jun. 1993
119. D. V. Ahluwalia, T. Goldman and M. B. Johnson. Majorana-like $(j, 0) \oplus (0, j)$ representation spaces: construction and physical interpretation. Preprint LA-UR-93-2645 (hep-th/9307118), Los Alamos, Jul. 1993
120. M. Pillin. Q -deformed relativistic wave equations. Preprint MPI-PH-93-61 (hep-th/9310097), München, Jul. 1993
121. V. V. Dvoeglazov, S. V. Khudyakov and S. B. Solganik. Relativistic covariant equal time equation for quark-diquark system. Preprint IFUNAM FT-93-024 (hep-th/9308305), Mexico, Aug. 1993
122. D. V. Ahluwalia and T. Goldman. Space-time symmetries and vortices in the cosmos. *Mod. Phys. Lett.* **A8**(28), 2623-2630 (1993) (hep-ph/9304242)
123. D. V. Ahluwalia and M. Sawicki. Front form spinors in the Weinberg-Soper formalism and generalized Melosh transformations for any spin. *Phys. Rev.* **D47**(11), 5161-5168 (1993)
124. D. V. Ahluwalia and D. J. Ernst. $(j, 0) \oplus (0, j)$ covariant spinors and causal propagators based on Weinberg formalism. *Int. J. Mod. Phys.* **E2**(2), 397-422 (1993)
125. D. V. Ahluwalia, T. Goldman and M. B. Johnson. A Bargmann-Wightman-Wigner type quantum field theory. *Phys. Lett.* **B316**(1), 102-108 (1993) (hep-ph/9304243)
126. V. V. Dvoeglazov. Lagrangian formulation of the $2(2S + 1)$ - component model and its connection with the skew-symmetric tensor description. *Hadronic J.* **16**(6), 459-467 (1993) (hep-th/9305141)
127. V. V. Dvoeglazov. Interactions of fermions with massless spin-one particles in the $2(2S + 1)$ - component formalism. *Hadronic J.* **16**(5), 423-428 (1993) (hep-th/9306108)
128. V. V. Dvoeglazov and S. V. Khudyakov. Gluonium as bound state of massive gluons described by the Joos-Weinberg wave functions. Preprint IFUNAM FT-93-35 (hep-ph/9311347), Mexico, Nov. 1993
129. D.V. Ahluwalia, M.B. Johnson, T. Goldman. Space-time symmetries: P and CP violation. Preprint LA-UR-93-4314 (hep-th/9312089), Los Alamos, Sep. 1993
130. D.V. Ahluwalia, T. Goldman, M.B. Johnson. $(J, 0) \oplus (0, J)$ representation space: Dirac-like construct. Preprint LA-UR-93-4315 (hep-th/9312090), Los Alamos, Dec. 1993
131. D.V. Ahluwalia, T. Goldman, M.B. Johnson. $(J, 0) \oplus (0, J)$ representation space: Majorana-like construct. Preprint LA-UR-93-4316 (hep-th/9312091), Los Alamos, Dec. 1993
132. M. Sawicki and D. V. Ahluwalia. Parity transformation in the front form. Preprint LA-UR-93-4317 (hep-th/9312092), Los Alamos, Dec. 1993